## Department of Mathematics and Statistics

Preprint MPS-2016-05

15 April 2016

## Existence of Dvectorial Absolute

## EXISTENCE OF 1D VECTORIAL ABSOLUTE MINIMISERS IN $L^1$ UNDER MINIMAL ASSUMPTIONS

HUSSIEN ABUGIRDA AND NIKOS KATZOURAKIS

Abstract. We prove the existence of vectorial Absolute Minimisers in the sense of Aronsson to the supremal functional  $E_1$  (u; <sup>0</sup>) = kL (;u; Du

case of maps:  $R^n ! R^N$ ) together with its associated system of equations begun in the early 2010s by the second author in a series of papers, see [K1]-[K6], [K8]-[K12]

Theorem 1 generalises two respective results in the both the papers [BJW1] and [K9]. On the one handin [BJW1] Theorem 1 was established under the extra assumption  $C_2 = C_3 = 0$  which forces L (x; ; 0) = Q for all (x; ) 2 R R<sup>N</sup>. Unfortunately this requirement is incompatible with important applications of (1.1) to problems ot  $L^1$  -modelling of variational Data Assimilation4QVar) arising in the Earth Sciences and especially in Meteorology (see [B, BS, K9]). An explicit model of L is given by

3

(1.7) 
$$L(x; ; P) := k(x) K()^{2} + P V(x; )^{2};$$

and describes the \error" in the following sense: consider the problem of nding

 $spacesW^{1;qm}_b(\ ;R^N\,)$  such that, for any  $s=1,\ u^m=^*u^{-1}$  weakly asm  $!\ 1$  in  $W^{1;s}(\ ;R^N\,)$  along a subsequence. Moreover,

(2.2) 
$$E_1(u^1;) = C_1 = \lim_{m \ge 1} C_m:$$

By approximate minimiser we mean that u<sup>m</sup> satis es

(2.3) 
$$E_m(u^m; ) C_m < 2^{m^2}$$
:

Finally, for any A measurable of positive measure the following lower semicontinuity inequality holds

(2.4) 
$$E_1(u^1;A) \liminf_{m \ge 1}$$

as  $m \mid 1$  along a subsequence. Now, recalling that  $= u^m$  at the endpoints f Q, 1g, and since  $u^m$  is an approximate minimiser of (2.1) ov  $\Delta f_b^{1,m}$  (;  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ) for each  $m \ge N$ , by utilising minimality, the additivity of the integral and Holder inequality, we get

$$E_m u^m$$
; (Q, 1)  $E_m {}^{m;}$ ; (Q, 1) + 2  ${}^{m^2}$ 

and hence

(2.6) 
$$\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{m}} \; \mathsf{u}^{\mathsf{m}}; (0,1) \stackrel{1}{\overset{\mathsf{m}}{\mathsf{m}}} \quad \mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{m}} \quad \stackrel{\mathsf{m};}{\overset{\mathsf{m};}{\mathsf{m}}}; (0,1) \stackrel{1}{\overset{\mathsf{m}}{\mathsf{m}}} + 2 \stackrel{\mathsf{m}}{\mathsf{m}} \\ \mathsf{E}_{1} \quad \stackrel{\mathsf{m};}{\overset{\mathsf{m};}{\mathsf{m}}}; (0,1) + 2 \stackrel{\mathsf{m};}{\mathsf{m}}; \end{array}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} & & & & & & \\ \mathsf{E}_1 & & ^{\mathsf{m};} & ; (\mathsf{Q}, 1) & = & & & \\ & & & \mathsf{E}_1 & & ^{\mathsf{m};} & ; (\mathsf{Q}, \ ) & ; \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\$$

and since m; = 1 on (; 1), we have

(2.7) 
$$E_{1} \stackrel{m;}{=} ; (Q, 1) \max E_{1} \stackrel{m;}{=} ; (Q, ); E_{1} \stackrel{1}{=} ; (Q, 1); \\E_{1} \stackrel{m;}{=} ; (1 ; 1) :$$

Combining (2.5)-(2.7) and (2.4), we get  

$$E_1 \quad u^1$$
; (Q, 1)  $\liminf_{m!1} \max_{m} E_1 \quad m;$ ; (Q, );  $E_1 \quad 1;$  (Q, 1)  
(2.8)  $n$   
 $\max_{m} E_1 \quad 1;$  (Q, 1);  $E_1 \quad 1;$ ; (Q, );  
 $E_1 \quad 1;$ ; (Q, 1);  $E_1 \quad 1;$ ; (Q, );  
 $E_1 \quad 1;$ ; (1, ; 1)  $n$ :

Let us now denote the di erence quotient of a function  $\mathbb{R}^N$  as  $D^{1,t}v(x) :=$  $\frac{1}{t}[v(x + t) \quad v(x)]$ . Then, we may write

$$D^{1}; (x) = D^{1}; {}^{1}(0), x 2 (0, );$$
  
$$D^{1}; (x) = D^{1}; {}^{1}(1), x 2 (1 ; 1),$$

Note now that

(2.9)   

$$\epsilon = E_1 + \frac{1}{3}; (0, 1) = \max_{\substack{0 \in X \\ 0 \in X}} 1 = 1; x = 1$$

;

The rest of the proof is devoted to establishing (2.10). Let us begin by recording for later use that

(2.11) 
$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{$$

Fix a genericu 2 W  $^{1;1}$  (  $\ ;R^{N}$  ), x 2 [Q 1] and O< " <  $\$ 1=3 and de ne

$$A_{"}(x) := [x "; x + "] \setminus [Q 1]:$$

We claim that there exist an increasing modulus of continulary C(Q,1 ) with ! (Ot ) = 0 such that

(2.12) 
$$E_1 u; A_{"}(x) \underset{y \ge A_{-}(x)}{\text{ess supL}} x; u(x); Du(y) ! ("):$$

Indeed for a.e.y 2  $A_{i}(x)$  we have jx

## References

- [A1] G. Aronsson, Minimization problems for the functional  $\sup_x F(x; f(x); f^0(x))$ , Arkiv for Mat. 6 (1965), 33 53.
- [A2] G. Aronsson, Minimization problems for the functional  $\sup_x F(x; f(x); f^0(x))$  II, Arkiv for Mat. 6 (1966), 409 431.
- [A3] G. Aronsson, Extension of functions satisfying Lipschitz conditions , Arkiv fer Mat. 6 (1967), 551 561.
- [A4] G. Aronsson, On the partial di erential equation  $u_x^2 u_{xx} #Q$