## **Department of Mathematics and Statistics**

Preprint MPS-2015-24

31 October 2015

 $\beta$ 

Simon BakerSiker

## INDUCED RANDOM -TRANSFORMATION

SIMON BAKER AND KARMA DAJANI

Abstract. In this article we study the rst return map de ned on the switch region induced by

SIMON BAKER AND KARMA DAJANI

$$K : [0; \frac{1}{1}]! \quad [0; \frac{1}{1}] \text{ de ned by}$$

$$\bigotimes_{k=1}^{8} (!; T_0 x); \quad \text{if } 0 \quad x < \frac{1}{2};$$

$$K (!; x) = \bigotimes_{k=1}^{8} (!; T_{1,1} x); \quad \text{if } \frac{1}{2}$$

2

INDUCED	RANDOM	-TRANSFORMATION

k	k n	k n	k
1	$\frac{1+5}{2}$	$\frac{1+5}{2}$	1 + 2 <sup>1=2</sup>
2	1:7549:::	1:8393:::	1:8546:::
3	1:8668:::	1:9276:::	1:9305:::
4	1:9332:::	1:9660:::	1:9666:::
5	1:9672:::	1:9836:::	1:9837:::

Figure 1. Tables of values for k, k and k

and let  $\ensuremath{_k}$  denote the k-th multinacci number. Recall that the k-th multinacci number is the unique root of

 $x^{k+1}$   $x^k$   $x^{k-1}$  x = 0

contained in (1; 2).

Theorem 1.1. Let 2 ( $_k$ ;  $_k$ ] for some k 2; then for any ! 2 and ( $j_i$ ) 2 R<sup>N</sup> there exists x 2 S such that  $r_i(!;x) = j_i$ , for i = 1;2;:::. Moreover, if = 2 ( $_k$ ;  $_k$ ] for all k 2; then there exists ! 2 and ( $j_i$ ) 2 R<sup>N</sup> such that no x 2 S satis es  $r_i(!;x) = j_i$ , for i = 1;2;:::.

As we will see, the algebraic properties of  $_k$  and  $_k$  correspond naturally to conditions on the orbit of 1 and its relection  $\frac{1}{1}$  1. These points determine completely the dynamics of the greedy map G and lazy map L respectively, and hence it is not surprising that these points play a crucial role in our situation as well. For values of lying outside of the intervals ( $_k$ ;  $_k$ ] it is natural to ask whether the following weaker condition is satis ed: given  $(j_i) \ge R^N$  does there exist ! 2 and x 2 S such that  $r_i(!;x) = j_i$  for i = 1;2;:::. Let  $_k$  denote the unique root of the equation

$$2x^{k+1}$$
  $4x^k + 1 = 0$ 

contained in (1; 2):

Theorem 1.2. Let 2 ( $_k$ ;  $_k$ ] for some k 1, then for any sequence( $j_i$ ) 2 R<sup>N</sup> there exists 1 2 and x 2 S such that  $r_i(!;x) = j_i$  for i = 1; 2; :::.

If satisfy a cross over property is su cient to prove Theorem 1.2. Note that  $_{k}$   $_{k}$   $_{k}$  for each k 1. We include a tables of values for  $_{k}$ ,  $_{k}$  and  $_{k}$  in Figure 1.

The second half of this paper is concerned with the maps  $U_{;0}$  and  $U_{;1}$ : Before we state our results it is necessary to make a denition. Given a closed interval a; b, we call a map T : [a; b] ! [a; b] a generalized Leroth series transformation (abbreviated to GLST) if there exists a countable set of bounded subintervals  $I_n g_{n=1}^1$  ( $I_n = (I_n; r_n); [I_n; r_{n=1})$ 

This Leroth expansion  $(a_n)$  can be seen to be generated by the map : [0; 1]! [0; 1] where

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} n(n+1)x & n; & \text{if } x \ 2 \ (\frac{1}{n+1}; \frac{1}{n}] \\ 0; & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

GLST's were introduced in [2]. Our de nition is slightly di erent to that appearing in this paper but all of the main results translate over into our context. Namely if T : [a; b] ! [a; b] is a GLST then the normalised Lebesgue measure on [b] is a T-invariant ergodic measure. Our main result for the maps  $U_{;0}$  and  $U_{;1}$  is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. There exists a setM (1;2) of Hausdor dimension 1 and Lebesgue measure zero such that:

- (1) If 2 M then both  $U_{;0}$  and  $U_{;1}$  are GLSTs.
- (2) If  $\neq M$  then both U; 0 and U; 1 are not GLSTs.

What is more we can describe the setM explicitly.

Before we move on to our proofs of Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3 we provide a worked example. Namely we consider the case where =  $\frac{1+p\overline{5}}{2}$ : This case exhibits some of the important features of our later proofs.

Example 1.1. When  $=\frac{1+\frac{p}{5}}{2}$  then  $S = [\frac{1}{2}; 1]$ . Let  $C_j = f! 2 : !_1 = jg, j = 0; 1$ , then for any ! 2  $C_0$ ,  $r_1(!; 1) = 1$ , and  $r_1(!; \frac{1}{2}) = 1$ , while for any ! 2  $C_1$ , we have  $r_1(!; 1) = 1$  and  $r_1(!; \frac{1}{2}) = 1$ . If  $x \ge (\frac{1}{2}; 1)$ , then  $r_1(!; x) \ge 2$  for all ! 2.

Let

(1) 
$$B_i^0 := fx 2 S : U_{;0}(x) = (T_1^{i-1} T_0)(x)g$$

and

(2) 
$$B_i^1 := fx \ 2 \ S : U_{i-1}(x) = (T_0^{i-1} \ T_1)(x)g$$

where i 2. A simple calculation shows that

(3) 
$$B_{i}^{0} = \prod_{n=2}^{X^{1}} \frac{1}{n}; \qquad X^{2} \frac{1}{n}^{i} = (T_{1}^{i})^{1}$$



Where in the above  $_{B_i^0}$  denotes the characteristic function on  $B_i^0$ : Note that the result stated above holds with  $r_j((0)^1; x)$  replaced with  $r_j((1)^1; x)$ : By Theorem 1.1 we know that there exists 2 and  $(j_i)_{i=1}^1 2 R_{\frac{j_i + p}{2}}^N$  for which no x satis es

 $r_i(!;x) = j_i$  for

following properties are important consequences of the above. First of all it is straightforward to see that for 2(k; k] we have R = fk + 1; k

every x 2 S is eventually mapped outside of S. This implies that equation (11) cannot hold and we have proved our result.

Combining Propositions 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 we conclude Theorem 1.1.

2.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2. We now prove Theorem 1.2 our proof is similar to Theorem 1.1 in that we make use of a nested interval construction. However, with our proof we do not explicitly construct the desired!; we can only show existence, as such our proof takes on an added degree of abstraction.

Let us start by examining the consequences of 2 ( $_k$ ;  $_k$ ] for somek 1: For k 2 we ignore the intervals ( $_k$ ;  $_k$ ] as their proof is covered by Theorem 1.1. For in the remaining parameter space the following inclusions hold

$$(T_1^k \ T_0) \ \frac{1}{2} \ 2 \ \frac{1}{2(1)}$$

## SIMON BAKER AND KARMA DAJANI

numbers, thus M has Hausdor dimension 1 and Lebesgue measure zero. It is worth noting that if  $2 f = 2 (1;2) : U_{;0}(\frac{1}{2}) 2 f \frac{1}{(1)}; \frac{1}{(1)}gg$  then card (1) = @. The important observation to make from the de nition of M is that the following statement holds

2 M ()  $\frac{1}{(1)}$  and  $\frac{1}{(1)}$  are never mapped into the interior of S:

This property will be su cient to prove that both  $U_{;0}$  and  $U_{;1}$  are GLSTs. Our proof of Theorem 1.3 is split over the following propositions.

Proposition 3.1. If  $\neq M$  then U; 0 and U; 1 are not GLSTs.

Proof. If =

We begin with the most simple case, we assume that  $J_{;0}(x) = (T_1^{n_1} - T_0)(x)$ , i.e.  $T_0(x) \ge D_{n_1}$ . Importantly, since  $2 \ M$  we know that  $1 \ge D_{n_1}^0$ . Thus  $T_0(S) \setminus D_{n_1} = [1; \frac{1}{1}] \setminus D_{n_1} = D_{n_1}$ : Therefore  $T_0^{-1}(D_{n_1})$  S and any y in this interval satisfies  $U_{;0}(y) = (T_1^{n_1} - T_0)(y)$ . This implies that

(21) 
$$f y 2 S : U_{;0}(x) = (T_1^{n_1} T_0)(y)g = (T_1^{n_1} T_0)^{-1}(S):$$

It remains to show that equation (20) holds in the general case. Obviously

(22) 
$$fy 2 S : U_{:0}(y) = (T_{!i}^{n_i}, T_1^{n_1}, T_0)(y)g(T_{!i}^{n_i}, T_1^{n_1}, T_0)^{-1}(S):$$

So we have to show that the opposite inclusion holds, for this we examine the formula for  $f_{0}$  more closely. We assume  $J_{;0}(x) = (T_{!,i}^{n_i}, T_{1}^{n_1}, T_{0})(x)$  for some i 2. Since i 2 we have  $T_0(x)$  is contained in a connected component of  $[1, \frac{1}{1}]$  n  $[1, \frac{1}{n-1}]$  contained this interval by  $I_1$ : We also let

$$\mathsf{E} := {}^{\mathsf{n}}\mathsf{T}_{0}{}^{\mathsf{n}} \ \frac{1}{-} \ ; \mathsf{T}_{0}{}^{\mathsf{n}} \ \frac{1}{(-1)} \ ; \mathsf{T}_{1}{}^{\mathsf{n}} \ \frac{1}{-} \ ; \mathsf{T}_{1}{}^{\mathsf{n}} \ \frac{1}{(-1)} \ ; \mathsf{G}^{\mathsf{n}}(1); \mathsf{G}^{\mathsf{n}} \ \frac{1}{-1} \ 1 \ : \mathsf{n} \quad \mathsf{O} :$$

Here G is the greedy map de ned earlier. Since 2 M no element of E is contained in the interior of a  $C_n$ ; a  $D_n$ , or S.

Importantly  $I_1 = (a_1; b_1)$  where  $a_1; b_1 \ge E$ : In this case either

$$(a_1; b_1) = 1; T_1^{n_1} \frac{1}{-}$$
 or  $(a_1; b_1) = T_1^{(n_1 - 1)} \frac{1}{(-1)}; T_1^{n_1} \frac{1}{-}$ :

Therefore

$$T_1^k(I_1) \setminus S = ; \text{ for } 1 \quad k \quad n_1 \quad 1 \text{ and } T_1^{n_1}(I_1) \quad \frac{2}{1}; \frac{1}{1} :$$

The endpoints of  $T_1^{n_1}(I_1)$  are elements of E and are therefore not contained in the interior of any  $C_n$ . Either  $(T_1^{n_1} - T_0)(x) \ge C_n$  for some nor maybe  $(T_1^{n_1} - T_0)(x) \ge T_1^{n_1}(I_1) n \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ n=1 \end{bmatrix} C_n$ . If  $(T_1^{n_1} - T_0)(x) \ge T_1^{n_1}(I_1) n \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ n=1 \end{bmatrix} C_n$  then let the connected component it is contained in be denoted by  $I_2$ : Let  $I_2 = (a_2; b_2)$  then again  $a_2; b_2 \ge E$ : In which case

(23) 
$$T_0^k(I_2) \setminus S = ; \text{ for } 1 \quad k \quad n_2 \quad 1 \text{ and } T_0^{n_2}(I_2) \quad \frac{1}{(1-1)}; 1$$

The endpoints of  $T_0^{n_2}(I_2)$  are again contained in E and therefore do not intersect the interior of any  $D_n$ : The point x has either been mapped into  $aD_n$  or is contained in a connected component of  $T_0^{n_2}(I_2)$  n [ $\frac{1}{n=1}D_n$ . If it is contained in a connected component of  $T_0^{n_2}(I_2)$  n [ $\frac{1}{n=1}D_n$ . If it is contained in a connected component of  $T_0^{n_2}(I_2)$  n [ $\frac{1}{n=1}D_n$  then we repeat the previous steps. Eventuallyx is mapped into either  $C_{n_i}$  or  $D_{n_i}$  and our algorithm terminates. Without loss of generality we assumex is eventually mapped into  $D_{n_i}$ . The above algorithm yields a nite sequence of intervals  $(I_j)_{j=1}^{j-1}$  which satisfy the following properties:

(1) 
$$I_1 \quad T_0(S)$$
:  
(2) For 1 j i 1  
 $T_{!_j}^k(I_{n_j}) \setminus S = ; \text{ for } 1 \quad k \quad n_j$   
(3) For 1 j i 2 we have  $I_{j+1} \quad T_{!_j}^{n_j}(I_j)$   
(4)

 $D_{n_i} = T_{!_{i-1}}^{n_{i-1}}(I_{i-1}):$ 

Where in the above!  $_{j} = 0$  if j is even and!  $_{j} = 1$  if j is odd. These properties have the following consequences:

(5)  $(T_{l_{i}}^{n_{i}})^{-1}(S) I_{i-1}$ (6) For 1 j i 1  $(T_{l_{i}}^{n_{i}} T_{l_{j}}^{k})^{-1}(S) \setminus S = ; \text{ for } 1 k n_{j}:$ (7) For 1 j i 1  $(T_{l_{i}}^{n_{i}} T_{l_{j}}^{n_{j}})^{-1}(S) I_{n_{j}}$ (8)  $(T_{l_{i}}^{n_{i}} T_{1}^{n_{1}} T_{0})^{-1}(S) S:$ 

10

Property (8) states that  $(T_{!_{i}}^{n_{i}}, T_{1}^{n_{1}}, T_{0})^{-1}(S)$  S. Moreover, properties (5), (6) and (7) imply that every y 2  $(T_{!_{i}}^{n_{i}}, T_{1}^{n_{1}}, T_{0})^{-1}(S)$  satis es U<sub>;0</sub>(y) =  $(T_{!_{i}}^{n_{i}}, T_{1}^{n_{1}}, T_{0})(y)$