

Department of Mathematics and Statistics

Preprint MPS-2015-07

24 May 2015

Regularization of Descriptor Systems

by

Nancy K. Nichols and Delin Chu

Nancy K. Nichols and Delin Chu

Abstract Implicit dynamic-algebraic equations, known in control theory as descriptor systems, arise naturally in many applications. Such systems may not be regular (often referred to as singular). In that case the equations may not have unique solutions for consistent initial conditions and arbitrary inputs and the system may not be controllable or observable. Many control systems can be regularized by proportional and/or derivative feedback. We present an overview of mathematical theory and numerical techniques for regularizing descriptor systems using feedback controls. The aim is to provide stable numerical techniques for analyzing and constructing regular control and state estimation systems and for ensuring that these systems are robust. State and output feedback designs for regularizing linear time-invariant systems are described, including methods for disturbance decoupling and mixed output problems. Extensions of these techniques to time-varying linear and nonlinear systems are discussed in the final section.

1 Introduction

Singular systems of differential equations, known in control theory as *descriptor systems* or *generalized state-space systems*, have fascinated Volker Mehrmann throughout his career. His early research, starting with his habilitation [33, 35],

Delin Chu

1

Nancy K. Nichols

Department of Mathematics, University of Reading, Box 220, Reading, RG6 2AX, UK e-mail: n.nichols@rdg.ac.uk

Department of Mathematics, National University of Singapore, Singapore e-mail: matchudl@nus.edu.sg

¹ **Published in:** *Numerical Algebra, Matrix Theory, Differential-Algebraic Equations and Control Theory*, (eds P. Benner, M. Bollhoefer, D. Kressner, C. Mehl and T. Stykel), Springer International Publishing, Switzerland, pp. 415–433, 2015. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-15260-8 15

Nancy K. Nichols and Delin Chu

where $E, A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}, C \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$. Here $x(\cdot)$ is the state, $y(\cdot)$ is the output, and $u(\cdot)$ is the input or control of the system. It is assumed that $m, p \leq n$ and that the matrices *B*,*C* are of full rank. The matrix *E* may be *singular*. Such systems are known as *descriptor* or *generalized state-space* systems. In the case $E = I$, the identity matrix, we refer to (1) or (2) as a *standard* system.

We assume initially that the system is time-invariant; that is, the system matrices *E*,*A*, *B*, *C* are constant, independent of time. In this context, we are interested in proportional and derivative feedback control of the form $u(t) = Fy(t) - Gy(t) + v(t)$ or $u(k) = Fy(k)$

Nancy K. Nichols and Delin Chu

$$
rank\left[\frac{E+BG}{T_{\infty}^T(E+BG)(A+BF)}\right])=n.
$$
 (13)

$$
(E+GC,A+FC),\t(15)
$$

where the matrice \vec{F} and \vec{G} must be selected to ensure that the responsetifie observer converges to the system stattor any arbitrary starting condition; that is, the system must be asymptotically stable. By duality white state feedback problem, it follows that if the condition² holds, then the matrice^s and G can be chosen such that the corresponding closed-loop pencils (16) ular and of index at most one. If condition O1 also holds, then the closed-loop system is S-observable. Furthermore, the remaining freedom in the system can beted to ensure the stability and robustness of the system and the nite eigleressof the system pencil can be assigned explicitly by the techniques described to state feedback control problem.

3.2 Disturbance Decoupling by State Feedback

In practice control systems are subject to disturbances tha

$$
2 \t t_1 \t t_2 \t t_3 \t s_4 \t s_5 \t 3
$$

\n $t_1 \t E_{11} \t 0 \t 0 \t 0 \t 0 \t 0$
\n $t_2 \t E_{21} \t E_{22} \t 0 \t 0 \t 0 \t 7$
\n $t_4 \t E_{41} \t E_{42} \t 0 \t E_{44} \t 0 \t 7$
\n $t_5 \t 0 \t 0 \t 0 \t 0 \t 0$
\n $t_2 \t E_{31} \t t_4 \t 3$
\n $t_1 \t 0 \t 0 \t 0$
\n $t_2 \t E_{30} \t 0 \t 7$
\n $t_2 \t E_{31} \t B_{32} \t 7$
\n $t_4 \t 4 \t 0 \t B_{42} \t 5$
\n $t_5 \t 0 \t 0$
\n $t_1 \t t_2 \t t_3$
\n(19)

$$
WCV =
$$

14 Nancy K. Nichols and Delin Chu

$$
E + BGT = \frac{M + B_1G \cdot 0}{K + B_2G \cdot I} , \quad A + BFC = \frac{0}{P} \frac{I + B_1F}{B_2F} . \tag{26}
$$

Different effects can, therefore, be achieved by feeding bather the derivatives or the states, In particular, in the case where is singular, but ran M , B_1 = n, the feedback*G* can be chosen such that $H + B_1 G$ is invertible and well-conditioned [7], giving a *robust* closed-loop system that is regular and of index zero. The task \overline{r} matrix F can be chosen separately to assign the eigenvalues of the stage state. example, or to achieve other objectives.

The complete solution to the mixed output feedback regulation problem is given in [22]. The theorem and its proof are very technical wability is established using condensed forms derived in the paper. The solution to the dealback problem given in Theorem 4 is a special case of the complete the mixed output case given in [22]. The required feedback matrices anstructed directly from the condensed forms using numerically stable transfations.

Usually the design of the feedback matrices still containe form, however, which can be resolved in many different ways. One choice is the feedbacks such that the closed-loop system is robust, or insensitive etturbations, and, in particular, such that it remains regular and of index at most under perturbations (due, for example, to disturbances or parameter variations choice can also be shown to maximize a lower bound on the stability radius of dlused-loop system [13]. Another natural choice would be to use minimum nteredbacks, which would be a least squares approach based on the theory in [24] approach is also

$$
E(t)x(t) = A(t)x(t) + B(t)u(t), \quad x(t_0) = x_0,
$$

$$
y(t) = C(t)x(t),
$$
 (27)

 \mathbf{w} here $E(t), A(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, B(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}, C(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$ are all *continuous* functions of time and $x(t)$ is the state $y(t)$ is the output, and $y(t)$ is the input or control of the system. (Corresponding discrete-time systems with tian wing coef cients can also be de ned, but these are not considered here.)

In this general form, complex dynamical systems including straints can be modelled. Such systems arise, in particular, as lineanizatof a general nonlinear control system of the form

$$
F(t, x, x, u) = 0, \t x(t_0) = x_0,\t y = G(t, x), \t (28)
$$

where the linearized system is such t **E** $(\boldsymbol{a}(t), \boldsymbol{B}(t))$ are given by the Jacobians of F with respect to x, x, u , respectively, and $C(t)$ is given by the Jacobian of with respect to_x (see [31]).

For the time-varying system (27) and the nonlinear system (are system properties can be modi ed by time-varying state and output feed thas in the timeinvariant case, but the characterization of the system antiquilar the solvability and regularity of the system, is considerably more comteticto de ne than in the time-invariant case and it is correspondingly more diftdal analyse the feedback E ; A; B; C; are assumed to be analytic functionstof but these conditions can be relaxed provided the ASVD decompositions remain suf digatmooth.

In the papers [12, 31], a much deeper analysis of the regation problem is developed. Detailed solvability conditions for the time ving system (27) are established and different condensed forms are derived, againg the ASVD. Constant rank assumptions do not need to be applied, although the existence of smooth ASVDs are required. The analysis covers a plethora of differing sible behaviours of the system. One of the tasks of the analysis is to determine and ancies and inconsistencies in the system in order that these may be consistencies in the system in order that these may be continued process. The reduction to the condensed forms displaybe invariants that determine the existence and uniqueness of the solution. The ideor system is then de ned to be regularizable if there exist proportional oridative feedback matrices such that the closed-loop system is uniquely solvable for y consistent initial state vector and any given (ilos08(i).46tnnsmmooth.sy eerensyho

5 Conclusions

We have given here a broad-brush survey of the work of VolkehMhann on the problems of regularizing descriptor systems. The externis work alone is formidable and forms only part of his research during his ear We have concentrated speci cally on results from Volker's own approaches the regularity problem. The primary aim of his work has been to provide stable enumal techniques for analyzing and constructing control and state estimatiostems and for ensuring that these systems s1ns1nwsons. The state $\log e$ or the system of $\log e$

- 37. Mehrmann, V., Nichols, N.K.: Mixed output feedback forsdriptor systems. Technical Report SPC 95-37, DFG Forschergruppe SPC, Fakultät für Mathik, TU Chemnitz-Zwickau, D-09107 Chemnitz, FRG, 1995.
- 38. Nichols, N.K.: Robust control system design for general state-space systems. 25th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control, Institute of Electrarad Electronic Engineers, Vol. 1, 538-540, (1986).
- 39. Nichols, N.K.: Dynamic-algebraic equations and cdntystem design. In: Numerical Analysis–1993, (eds D.F. Grif th and G.A. Watson), Longm&cienti c and Technical, pp. 208–224, (1994).
- 40. Pearson, D.W., Chapman, M.J., Shields, D.N.: Partiglus ir value assignment in the design of robust observers for discrete time descriptor systems. IJ. Math. Control Inform. 5, 203–213 (1988)
- 41. Rosenbrock, H.H.: Structural properties of linear dyimasystems. Internat. J. Control, 191–202 (1974)
- 42. Verghese, G.C., Van Dooren, P., Kailath, T.: Propedidse system matrix of a generalized state space system. Internat. J. Cont30, 235-243 (1979)
- 43. Yip, E.L., R.F. Sincovec, R.F.: Solvability, controllaty and observability of continuous descriptor systems. IEEE Trans. Automat. ContAC-26, 702-707 (1981)