

On convergence of dynamics of hopping particles to birth and death processes in continuum

Dmitri Finkelshtein,¹ Yuri Kondratiev,² Eugene Lytvinenko³

March 2008

Abstract

We show that some classes of birth-and-death processes in continuum

Here and below, for simplicity of notations, we write $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$ instead of $\{\mathbf{x}\}$

Let us briefly recall some basic facts of harmonic analysis on the configuration space, see [1] for further details. Let $\mathcal{C}_0$ denote the space of finite configurations in $\mathbb{R}^d$, i.e., $\mathcal{C}_0 = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}_0^{(n)}$, where $\mathcal{C}_0^{(n)}$ is the space of all n point configurations in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Clearly, $\mathcal{C}_0 \subset \mathcal{C}$, and we define $\mathcal{B}_0$ and $\mathcal{B}^{(n)}$ as the trace σ -algebras of $\mathcal{B}$ on $\mathcal{C}_0$ and $\mathcal{C}_0^{(n)}$, respectively. For a function $\mathbf{G} : \mathcal{C}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we define a function $\mathbf{KG}(\gamma) = \sum_{\eta \in \gamma} \mathbf{G}(\eta)$, $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}_0$, provided the series in this sense converges. Here $\eta \in \gamma$ means that η is a finite subset of γ .

Let μ be a probability measure on $\mathcal{C}$, then there exists a unique measures ρ on $\mathcal{C}_0, \mathcal{B}_0$ satisfying

$$\int_{\Gamma} \mathbf{KG}(\gamma) \mu(d\gamma) = \int_{\Gamma_0} \mathbf{G}(\eta) \rho(d\eta)$$

for each test function $\mathbf{G} : \mathcal{C}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The measure ρ is called the correlation measure of μ . Further, denote by λ the Lebesgue-Poisson measure on $\mathcal{C}_0$, i.e.,

$$\lambda = \delta_{\emptyset} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} dx_1 \cdots dx_n.$$

Here $\delta_{\emptyset}$ is the Dirac measure with mass at $\emptyset$, and $dx_1 \cdots dx_n$ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathcal{C}_0^{(n)}$, which is not really defined on this space. Assume that the correlation measure ρ of μ is absolutely continuous with respect to λ , then $\mathbf{k} = \frac{d\rho}{d\lambda}$ is called the correlation function of μ . For a given correlation function $\mathbf{k}$, the corresponding rescaled function $\mathbf{u} : \mathcal{C}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined through the formula $\mathbf{k}(\eta) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}(\eta)} \mathbf{u}_{\pi}(\eta)$, here $\mathcal{P}(\eta)$ denotes the set of all partitions of η , and given a partition $\pi = \{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_k\}$ of η , $\mathbf{u}_{\pi}(\eta) = \mathbf{u}(\eta_1) \cdots \mathbf{u}(\eta_k)$. Recall also that a function $\mathbf{G} : \mathcal{C}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called translation invariant if, for each $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mathbf{G}(\eta_{\mathbf{x}}) = \mathbf{G}(\eta)$ for all $\eta \in \mathcal{C}_0$, here $\eta_{\mathbf{x}}$ denotes the configuration η shifted by vector $\mathbf{x}$, i.e., $\eta_{\mathbf{x}} = \{\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{y} \in \eta\}$. Clearly, the correlation function $\mathbf{k}$ is translation invariant if and only if the corresponding rescaled function $\mathbf{u}$ is translation invariant.

If $\mathbf{k}$ is the correlation function of a probability measure μ on $\mathcal{C}$, we denote

$$\mathbf{k}^{(n)}(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n) = \mathbf{k}(\{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n\}), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and analogously we define $\mathbf{u}^{(n)}$. The $\mathbf{k}^{(n)}$ and $\mathbf{u}^{(n)}$ are called the correlation and rescaled functions of μ , respectively. Note that, if $\mathbf{k}$ is translation invariant, then $\mathbf{k}^{(1)} = \mathbf{u}^{(1)}$ is a constant.

For a function $\mathbf{f} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we define $\mathbf{e}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{f}, \eta) = \int_{\mathcal{C}_0} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \lambda(d\mathbf{x})$, $\eta \in \mathcal{C}_0$ and $\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{f}, \eta) = \int_{\mathcal{C}} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \mu(d\mathbf{x})$.

Assume that $\mathbf{L}$ is a Markov generator on $\mathcal{H}$. Denote $\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{K}^{-1} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{K}$, i.e., $\mathbf{L}$ is the operator acting on functions on $\mathcal{H}_0$ which satisfies $\mathbf{K} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{G} = \mathbf{L} \mathbf{K} \mathbf{G}$. Denote by $\mathbf{L}$

Proof A straightforward calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_{\varepsilon}^{-} k(\eta) &= \int_{\eta}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dy a_{\varepsilon}(x-y) r(x, y, \eta \setminus x) \\
 &\times \int_{\Gamma_0} \lambda d\xi k(\xi \cup \eta) e_{\lambda} e^{\varphi^{-}(x-\cdot) - \varphi^{+}(y-\cdot)} - \xi, \\
 L_{\varepsilon}^{+} k(\eta) &= \int_{\eta}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dx a_{\varepsilon}(x-y) r(x, y, \eta \setminus y) \\
 &\times \int_{\Gamma_0} \lambda d\xi k(\xi \cup \eta \setminus y \cup x) e_{\lambda} e^{\varphi^{-}(x-\cdot) - \varphi^{+}(y-\cdot)} - \xi, \\
 L_0^{-} k(\eta) &= \int_{\eta}^{\infty} e_p E^{\varphi^{-}}(x, \eta \setminus x) \\
 &\times \int_{\Gamma_0} \lambda d\xi e_{\lambda} e^{\varphi^{-}(x-\cdot)} - \xi, k(\eta \cup \xi), \\
 L_0^{+} k(\eta) &= \int_{\eta}^{\infty} e_p - E
 \end{aligned}$$

D. Finkelshtein, Y. Kondratiev and E. Lytvynov

Fourier transforms so that they are unitary operators in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, dx)$. For any $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, consider the dynamics of independent particles which starts at $\mathbf{y}$ and such that each separate particle moves according to the semigroup P_t i.e., independent random walks in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then, this process has *càdlàg* paths on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and

and initial distribution μ_0 his dynamics can be constructed as follows, cf [1]. For each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, denote by P_γ the $\mathbb{S}$ of $\mathbb{S}$ process on Γ which is started at time zero, and after this, points of γ randomly die, independently of each other, so that the probability that at time $t > 0$ a particle $x \in \gamma$ is still alive is equal to e^{-t} . Next, let π denote the Poisson point process in $\mathbb{R}^d \times [0, \infty)$ with the intensity measure $k_0^{(1)} dx dt$ where the measure π is concentrated on configurations $\gamma = \{x_n, t_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in $\mathbb{R}^d \times [0, \infty)$ such that $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \in \Gamma$, $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots$, and $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For any such configuration, we denote by P_γ the $\mathbb{S}$ of $\mathbb{S}$ process on Γ such that at time t , the configuration is empty, and then at each time t_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a new particle is born at x_n , and after time t_n this particle randomly dies, independently of the other particles, so that at time $s > t_n$ the probability that the particle is still alive is $e^{-(s-t_n)}$. Finally, the $\mathbb{S}$ of the process with generator $\mathcal{L}$ and initial distribution μ_0 is given by

$$\mu_0 d\gamma P_\gamma * \pi d\gamma P_\gamma.$$

Here * stands for convolution of measures, see [1] for details.
 The next step is to set $t = 0$ and $t = t_n$.

group is called by ε -Set

$$g^\varepsilon(x) = e^{f_0(x)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_{t_1}^\varepsilon(x) dx_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_{t_2-t_1}^\varepsilon(x_1) dx_2 \times \cdots \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_{t_n-t_{n-1}}^\varepsilon(x_{n-1}) dx_n \prod_{i=1}^n e^{f_i(x_i)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Then, by the construction of the process, the first integral in (1) with $\varepsilon > 0$ is equal to

$$\int_{\Theta(x,y)} g^\varepsilon(x) \mu_0(dy) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} g^\varepsilon(x_1 - k_0^{(n)} x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_1 \cdots dx_n.$$

In the following integrals, one represents the correlation functions through the self-functions. As a change of variables under the sign of integrals, and after a careful analysis of the obtained expression, one takes its limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Finally, one shows that the obtained limit is indeed equal to the second integral in (1).

Convergence of equilibrium states in dynamics of interacting particles

In this section, we will consider equilibrium dynamics of interacting particles having a Gibbs state as in equilibrium states. One result will be that of [1], where the first one special case of such dynamics is considered (see also [2]). We start with a description of the class of Gibbs states we are going to use.

A pair potential is a Borel measurable function $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ such that $\varphi(-x) = \varphi(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$. For $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{y\}$, we define the relative energy of interaction between a particle at x and the configuration y as $E(x, y) = \sum_{y \in y} \varphi(x-y)$, provided that the latter sum converges absolutely, and otherwise it is set to be $-\infty$. A grand canonical Gibbs state corresponding to the pair potential φ and activity $z > 0$

on particular, we then have $\varphi(x) \geq -B$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Next, we say that the condition of local stability high temperature regime is fulfilled if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |e^{-\varphi(x)} - |z|| dx < e^{1+B}^{-1},$$

where B is as in (2.1). A classical result of Ruelle [19] says that, under the assumption of stability and local stability high temperature regime, there exists a Gibbs measure μ corresponding to φ and z , and this measure has correlation functions which satisfies conditions i-iii of Theorem 2.1, with s in condition i which is then called the Ruelle bound. Furthermore, the corresponding self functions satisfy $u^{(n)}, \dots, \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{d \cdot n-1}, dx_1 \dots dx_n)$ for each $n \geq 1$. It follows, we will assume that the potential φ is also bounded from above outside some finite ball in $\mathbb{R}^d$ which is satisfied by any realistic potential, since it should converge to zero at infinity.

D. Finkelshtein, Y. Kondratiev and E. Lytvynov

paths whose generator is $L_K, D L_K$ if we consider this process with initial distribution μ , then it is an equilibrium process, i.e., it has distribution $\mu_t = \mu$ at any moment of time $t \geq 0$. Hence, for each $t \geq 0$, $\mu_t = \mu$ has correlation function which satisfies conditions i-iii of Theorem

D. Finkelshtein, Y. Kondratiev and E. Lytvynov

respect to μ , $\mathbb{S}$ is well defined integral over $\mathbb{R}^d$ with respect to Lebesgue measure. As
 result one gets rid of $\mathbb{S}$ is $\mathbb{S}$ tions $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}$ then, one sees change of

-) Grice, N.L., Ortiz, G.: Spatial birth and death processes as solutions of stochastic equations. *ALEA Lat. Am. Probab. Math. Stat.* **1**,

Grothaus, M.: Scaling limit of reaction equations for the equilibrium Glauber dynamics in continuum. *Funct. Approx.* **239**

D. Finkelshtein, Y. Kondratiev and E. Lytvynov

Ruelle, D.: Cluster property of the correlation functions of classical gases
Revue Mod. Phys. **36**, 193–212 (1964)

Ruelle, D.: Statistical Mechanics: Rigorous Results. Benjamin, New York/Asterdams (1969)

Sergalis, D.: On Poisson multiple stochastic integrals and associated equilibrium Markov processes. In: Theory and Application of Random Fields (Singapore, 1987), pp. 1–10, Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences, vol. 107, Springer, Berlin (1988)